UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,539	06/27/2003	Dan Flynn	59643 00264	6130
	7590 03/17/200 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT			TIEU, BINH KIEN	
14TH FLOOR TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182-2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/607,539	FLYNN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	/BINH K. TIEU/	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6 and 8-22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 3, 7 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exame	lrawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/607,539 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicants' arguments, see Applicants' remarks, filed 12/19/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chaskar et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0224702) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Osmo (Pub. No.: US 2003/0157942).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-6 and 8-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Osmo (Pub. No.: US 2003/0157942).

**Regarding claim 1**, Osmo teaches a method comprising:

sending a request for information associated with a location of a target user from a first location service entity to a second location service entity (i.e., a home location server 26, as shown in figure 2, requests location information of a mobile station to a roaming location server 28);

informing the second location service entity of a role performed by the second location service entity when processing the request (i.e., the request included a cell identity of the location server 28 as a role); and

processing the request at the second location service entity (i.e., the location server 28 based on the cell identity to provide the geographic information associated with the cell identity and sends it back to the home location server, see paragraphs [0047] and [0067]-[0068]).

Regarding claim 2, note the cell identity or location parameters as a role in the request in paragraphs [0047] and [0067].

Regarding claim 4, note the home location server receives the request from the mobile station (see paragraph [0040] and sending the request from the home location server to location server 28 (see paragraph [0047]).

Regarding claim 5, note paragraph [0039].

Regarding claim 6, note a WAP gateway (first entity) received the request. WAP location server of home location server 26 (second entity) received and checked the request. Lastly, roaming WAP location server 28 (third entity) received and processed the request which sends back the geographic information (see paragraphs [0040]-[0047]).

Regarding claims 8-9, also note the paragraphs [0040]-[0047].

**Regarding claim 10**, Osmo teaches an apparatus comprising:

location service entity of a location information service arrangement comprising a plurality of location service entities (i.e., WAP gateways 16, Home Location Server 26, Roaming Location Server 28, etc. as shown in figure 2) configured to process requests for location

information, the location information entity being configured to request for information associated with a location of a target user from a further location service entity (i.e., a home location server 26, as shown in figure 2, requests location information of a mobile station to a roaming location server 28) and to inform the further location service entity of a role the further location service entity performs when processing the request ((i.e., the request included a cell identity of the location server 28 as a role; and the location server 28 based on the cell identity to provide the geographic information associated with the cell identity and sends it back to the home location server, see paragraphs [0047] and [0067]-[0068]).

Regarding claims 11-12, note the home location server 26 determines a cell identity to where the mobile station has been serving and roaming. The home location server 26 then selected the cell identity and forwards it with the request to the roaming location server 28 (paragraphs [0047] and [0066]-[0067]).

Regarding claims 13-15, note paragraphs [0039].

Regarding claims 16-22, the limitations of the claims are rejected with same reasons set forth in the rejections of claims 1-2, 4-6 and 8-15 above.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3 and 7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,539 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh K. Tieu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7510 and Email address: BINH.TIEU@USPTO.GOV.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499 and IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL CUSTOMER SERVICE FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300
Hand Carry Deliveries to:
Customer Service Window
(Randolph Building)
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

In formation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (FAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the FAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/BINH K. TIEU/

Primary Examiner Technology Division 2614

Date: February 2008